

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT PROGRAM FOR THE COMBINED SEWER AREA

## PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA SCORING JUNE 2023

#### **PROJECT EVALUATION**

The District will review and prioritize proposed projects for available funding based on the following criteria:

#### **Expected Benefits of the Project**

Design-Only (29 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)

Design and (or Construction (35 Points)

| Category                        |                                       |  | Ev  | aluation Criter   | ʻia   |  |                          | Maximum<br>Points |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Percentage<br>Volume<br>Removed |                                       |  |   |   |   |  |                          |                   |
|                                 | able to recaptured requirem condition | will be evaluated emove from the color. For projects the nents, this will be not and proposed Measures (GI SCA). | combined<br>hat do no<br>based or<br>conditior<br>Ms). For p  | I sewer system of<br>t need to comp<br>In the runoff diff<br>Ins with Green In<br>projects that m | (measure<br>ly with the<br>erences l<br>nfrastruct<br>ust first c | ed as the percer<br>ne District's Titl<br>petween existin<br>ture Stormwate<br>comply with the | ntage<br>e IV<br>g<br>er |                   |
|                                 | condition                             | ns without GI SCI<br>s must be detern  | Ms and pi   | roposed conditi   | ons with  | GI SCMs. The   | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | condition                             | ns without GI SCI  | Ms and pi   | roposed conditi   | ons with  | GI SCMs. The   | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | condition                             | ns without GI SCI<br>s must be detern  | Ms and pi<br>nined usir   | roposed conditi<br>ng the USEPA Si  | ons with<br>tormwate  | GI SCMs. The er Calculator Mo  | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | condition estimate:                   | ns without GI SCI s must be detern  Points   | Ms and pinined usin   | roposed conditing the USEPA St  | ons with  | GI SCMs. The er Calculator Mo  | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | condition estimate:                   | ns without GI SCI<br>s must be detern<br>Points  | Ms and pi<br>nined usir<br>%<br>≥62   | roposed conditing the USEPA States  | ons with<br>tormwate<br>%<br>≥34                                  | GI SCMs. The er Calculator Mo  Points  6   | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | condition estimate:  % ≥90 ≥86        | Points 20 19   | Ms and prined using % ≥62 ≥58   | roposed conditing the USEPA States  | ons with tormwate % ≥34 ≥30                                       | GI SCMs. The er Calculator Mo  Points  6  5  | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | %     ≥90     ≥86     ≥82             | Points 20 19   | Ms and prined using the second with the secon | roposed conditing the USEPA States    Points   13   12   11                                       | ons with tormwate % ≥34 ≥30 ≥26                                   | GI SCMs. The er Calculator Mo  Points  6  5  4   | •                        | 20                |
|                                 | %     ≥90     ≥86     ≥82     ≥78     | Points 20 19 18  | Ms and prined using the second with the secon | Points 13 12 11   | % ≥34 ≥30 ≥26 ≥22   | Points  6  5  4  | •                        | 20                |

## **Expected Benefits of the Project**

Design-Only (29 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (35 Points)

| Category                   |   | Evaluation Crit   | eria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Impervious<br>Area Treated |   | re will be based upo  | of impervious area treated by n the percentage of impervious arily the total site area).  | 9                 |
| Cost-<br>Effectiveness     | dollars requested ÷ the gallo<br>related specifically to GI SCI | ons captured). This<br>Ms, not the total pro<br>ual the GI SCM budg | veness (the amount of grant is based on the project's budget ject budget (in some cases, the get). The results from the USEPA termine gallons captured. | 6                 |

## **Project Feasibility**

Design-Only (13 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)

Design and/or Construction (23 Points)

#### **BUDGET (8 Points)**

| Category           | Evaluation Criteria   | Maximum<br>Points |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|
| Detailed<br>Budget | *Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely their detailed budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is stamped by a professional engineer. | 3                 |

## **Project Feasibility**

Design-Only (13 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (23 Points)

## **PROJECT DESIGN (10 Points)**

| Category                 | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                          | For applications that submit a concept plan: projects will be evaluated on whether minimum requirements are included.  | 3                 |
| Plan Submittal           | *For applications that submit a full set of plan design drawings: projects will be evaluated on whether minimum requirements are included, and if the plans are stamped by a professional engineer.  | 5                 |
| Construction<br>Schedule | Projects will be evaluated based on a proposed timeline that ensures projects can be completed within the grant program timeframe. Furthermore, consideration will be given to whether the schedule acknowledges foreseeable circumstances that could delay on-time completion of the project. | 3                 |
| Zoning &<br>Permitting   | Projects will be evaluated on how well the applicant has already addressed applicable zoning and permitting requirements or has demonstrated the knowledge to do so prior to the commencement of construction.   | 2                 |

## **Project Feasibility**

Design-Only (13 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (23 Points)

## **BUDGET (8 Points)**

| Category             | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|----------------------|--|-------------------|
| Capital<br>Costs     | *Projects will be evaluated based on whether the proposed construction project capital costs align with regional cost standards.                         | 3                 |
| Eligible<br>Expenses | *Projects will be evaluated to ensure that requested grant funding is used exclusively for eligible expenses, as per the defined guidelines/regulations. | 2                 |

|                    | THE PROJECT TEAM (5 Points)  |                   |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|
| Category           | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|                    | Projects will be evaluated on the amount of experience current team members have with designing/implementing GI SCMs.  |                   |
|                    | Overall experience with GI projects**  Significant (at least 1 team member has been involved with 5+ GI projects)  Overall experience with GI projects**  Points  5  |                   |
| Overall            | Some (at least 1 team member has been involved with 3+ GI projects)  |                   |
| Experience with GI | Minimal (no team member has been involved in more than 2 GI projects)  | 5                 |
| Projects           | No member of the team has any GI experience 0  |                   |
|                    | **This accounts for cumulative projects per team member, not cumulative experience of the team. For example, if an owner/design engineer partnership has successfully completed 4 GI projects, they have 4 projects worth of experience, and would score 3 points (they do not have 8 projects of experience). Project experience can include any GI projects and are not limited to those funded by NEORSD's GIG Program. |                   |

## Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (16 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (20 Points)

## **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (6 Points)**

| Category             | Evaluation Criteria   | Maximum<br>Points |
|----------------------|---|-------------------|
| Responsible<br>Party | The party (or parties) responsible for first-year and long-term maintenance have been identified and confirmed. | 1                 |
| Labor Hours          | An estimate of annual labor hours for first-year and long-term maintenance has been provided.                   | 1                 |
| Necessary<br>Skills  | The necessary skills required of the party (or parties) that will provide maintenance have been summarized.     | 1                 |
| Equipment<br>Needed  | A list of the equipment the party (or parties) will need to maintain the project has been provided.             | 1                 |

#### Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (16 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation criteria is not considered)

Design and/or Construction (20 Points)

#### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (6 Points)**

| Category   | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|------------|--|-------------------|
| Volunteers | For applicants intending to utilize volunteers to perform maintenance activities, projects will be evaluated whether the applicant has an existing volunteer base or will be establishing a volunteer base, and on their plans to consistently recruit and train volunteers. | 2                 |

#### Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (16 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (20 Points)

#### **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE (O&M) PLAN (10 Points)**

| Category                  | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Design Features           | The O&M Plan includes a comprehensive list of design features that are applicable to proposed SCMs.                                | 3                 |
| What to Look For          | The O&M Plan includes a description of what an inspector would look for while conducting a routine inspection, per design feature. | 4                 |
| Inspection<br>Frequencies | The O&M Plan summarizes how frequently each design feature should be inspected/maintained.   | 3                 |

#### Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (16 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)

Design and/or Construction (20 Points)

#### OPERATION & MAINTENANCE BUDGET (4 Points) \*

| Category                      | Evaluation Criteria   | Maximum<br>Points |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| First-Year<br>Detailed Budget | Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely the detailed first-year maintenance budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is stamped by a professional engineer. | 1                 |

## Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (16 Points - \*Denotes this Evaluation Criteria is not considered)
Design and/or Construction (20 Points)

## OPERATION & MAINTENANCE BUDGET (4 Points) \*

| Category                     | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Long-Term<br>Detailed Budget | Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely the detailed long-term maintenance budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is stamped by a professional engineer. | 1                 |
| Maintenance<br>Costs         | Projects will be evaluated on whether proposed maintenance costs reflect typical regional costs.   | 1                 |
| Eligible Expenses            | Projects will be evaluated on whether requested grant funding will be used exclusively for eligible maintenance expenses.  | 1                 |

#### **Education & Additional Co-Benefits**

Design-only (22 Points)

Design and/or Construction (22 Points)

#### **SITE EDUCATION (4 Points)**

| Category                 | Evaluation Criteria   | Maximum<br>Points |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Permanent<br>Signage     | Projects will be evaluated based on the proposed location of permanent signage in relation to the locations of the proposed SCMs: 2 points if the signage is immediately adjacent to the SCMs; 1 point if the signage is not able to be placed adjacent to the SCMs (e.g., a green roof cannot be seen at sidewalk level, where the educational sign is situated).  | 2                 |
| Project<br>Accessibility | Projects will be evaluated on how well the applicant provides opportunities for stakeholders to observe the project: 2 points if the applicant has maximized opportunities for observation of the project and signage; 1 point if the applicant has not maximized the potential to observe the project by on-site stakeholders and/or the general public (e.g., the SCMs are observable from a public sidewalk, but the signage is placed where only on-site stakeholders can read it). | 2                 |

#### **Education & Additional Co-Benefits**

Design-only (22 Points)

Design and/or Construction (22 Points)

#### **COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL CO-BENEFITS (10 Points)**

| Category   | Evaluation Criteria   |              | Maximum<br>Points |   |
|--|---|--------------|-------------------|---|
| Social<br>Vulnerability<br>Index (SVI)                     | Projects will receive points based on the SVI score for the SVI score for the SVI score within the project area $>0.75$ SVI score within the project area $>0.50 \& \le 0.75$ SVI score within the project area $>0.250 \& \le 0.50$  | Points 3 2 1 |                   | 3 |
| Public Access,<br>Open Space,<br>Recreation                | SVI score within the project area ≤ 0.25  1 point if the property (public or private) is accessible to the public for a minimum of 7 hours per weekend day or 3 hours per weekday; 1 point if the project integrates public gathering spaces into the design and this is supported by a description of proposed public gathering spaces and design elements that enhance existing public spaces; 1 point if the project is located on publicly accessible property (e.g., community-owned, ROW, etc.).                      |              |                   | 3 |
| Community<br>Engagement,<br>Collaboration<br>& Placemaking | 1 point if community members or property stewards (i.e., care takers, maintenance staff, property managers, or community members with an interest in the property) are part of the project team; 1 point if the project team will engage the community during the design process to align community placemaking goals and GI improvements; 1 point if the project team will engage the community during the construction and/or maintenance process (e.g., design input, planting event, buya-brick, general upkeep, etc.). |              | 3                 |   |
| GI Job Training  | The project comprehensively describes at least 1 of the following: 1) Provides long-term GI job training programs, or 2) Serves as a site for trainees learning about GI design/construction/ maintenance/monitoring.   |              | 1                 |   |

#### **Education & Additional Co-Benefits**

Design-only (22 Points)

Design and/or Construction (22 Points)

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CO-BENEFITS (8 Points)**

|   | Category     | Evaluation Criteria  | Maximum<br>Points |
|---|--------------|--|-------------------|
| • | Water Supply | For Rainwater Harvesting systems: the rainwater harvesting vessel(s) can hold a volume equal to 1.00" of runoff from the contributing impervious drainage area, and there exists a confirmed demand for this volume, in lieu of potable water, every week for at least 50% of a calendar year. | 1                 |

## **Education & Additional Co-Benefits**

Design-only (22 Points)

Design and/or Construction (22 Points)

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CO-BENEFITS (8 Points)**

| Category                        | Category Evaluation Criteria  |   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Urban Heat<br>Resilience        | 1 point for a net increase of three shade trees from the District's approved list; 1 point for reducing impervious area cover by at least 10% (from existing compared to proposed conditions (Note: green roof and permeable pavement footprints are considered pervious); 1 point if the project is located in a high priority heat resilience area as identified on the Priority Area Map under resources on the website - NEORSD GI Grant Program Website Link | 3 |
| Urban<br>Flooding<br>Resilience | pre-development condition, all existing impervious areas must be accounted for  |   |
| Biodiversity                    | The proposed planting plan and plant palette is designed to attract a specific native wildlife species; and locations of native vegetation are identified on the concept plan or completed design.  | 1 |

| Summary of Total Scoring              |                       |                                   |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Category                              | Design-Only<br>Points | Design and/or Construction Points |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Benefits of the Project      | 29 (36%)              | 35 (35%)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Project Feasibility                   | 13 (16%)              | 23 (23%)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain | 16 (20%)              | 20 (20%)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Education & Additional Co-Benefits    | 22 (28%)              | 22 (22%)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Total Points                          | 80                    | 100                               |  |  |  |  |